EX. B

# COPY

1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK				
3	x				
4	1 truit bi michi voo, bi o.,				
5	EDITH MARCUS, and META STEVENS				
6	Plaintiffs,				
7	- against -				
8	I F				
9	Limited, a Delaware limited liability company,				
10	Defendants.				
11	x				
12	Ellen Grauer Court Reporters				
13	126 East 56th Street New York, New York				
14					
15					
16	December 26, 2007				
17	12:01 p.m.				
18					
19	30(b)(6) deposition of MARK				
20	ROESLER, before Marlene Lee, CSR, CRR, a Notary Public of the State of New York.				
21					
22					
23	ELLEN GRAUER COURT REPORTING CO. LLC				
24	126 East 56th Street, Fifth Floor New York, New York				
25	212-750-6434 Ref: 86257				

1

#### ROESLER

2

\_

3

4

5

6

7

\_

8

9

10

11

**.** 

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

- A. I -- I don't know that we took specific action as a result of this letter.
- Q. This document came from CMG's files?

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

- A. Did -- are you asking me?
- Q. I'm asking you.
- A. Like I said, I don't recall seeing this letter. I don't think it came from our files. I don't recall seeing it.
  - Q. A second ago you said it did.

MR. MINCH: Objection.

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

A. No, you asked me if I've ever seen document 12. I said I've never seen the cover letter, that I can recall. But I've seen it. The second part of 12 got circulated, a fax copy you'd see every once in a while. Your question is, did this come from our files? I don't think it did. I don't think it did. It's possible there's something in our files I don't recall, but --

MR. SERBAGI: If the court reporter would mark as Roesler 13, bearing

ROESLER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

production Nos. MM 0013310 through 13319.

(Roesler Exhibit 13 for identification, document, written by M. Roesler, 5-2-95, production Nos. MM 0013310 through 0013319.)

- $\mathbb{Q}$ . Have you seen this document before, Mr. Roesler?
  - A. It looks like I wrote it.
- Q. When you refer to you wrote it, did you write all of the pages that are contained within Roesler 13?
  - A. I mean, at least to some extent.
- Q. To the extent you didn't write it, did you review it before it was sent to --
- A. Yeah.
- Q. -- Ms. Strasberg?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. You would have made sure everything in here is true and accurate?
  - A. I would.
- Q. You wouldn't lie to Ms. Strasberg, would you?
- 25 A. No.

ROESLER

- Q. That's your signature on page 1?
- A. It is.
  - Q. Dated May 2nd, 1995; correct?
- A. Yes.

Q. What was the gist of what you were trying to say in the first page of this document to Ms. Strasberg?

MS. COLBATH: Objection.

- A. I don't -- I don't recall. I don't know what --
- Q. If you could just read the first page to yourself. Maybe it will refresh your recollection.

MR. MINCH: I'm sorry. What page --

- A. You're asking what the recent legal victory on the West Coast was?
- Q. I'm just asking you to read the cover page, this letter that you sent to
  Ms. Strasberg, and tell me what the purpose of this letter was.
- A. Well, it was right before -- we started representing the -- officially representing the estate 90 days later, so it

1

#### ROESLER

2

3

was probably in our lead-up to representing --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

It says in the second paragraph, Q. "In a follow-up to my recent letters and correspondence to you and my meetings a few days ago with Mr. Seidman and Mr. Starr, I have enclosed a brief summary of our position." Do you see that?

> Α. Yes.

- By the way, is that the Ken Starr 0. that you are referring to there? It says "Ken Starr" on the bottom of the page, cc'd.
  - What do you mean, "the Ken Starr"? Α.
  - Q. Kenneth Starr.
  - You mean the one on the news a lot? Α.
  - Q. Yes.
- Α. It's a different Ken Starr. But a lot of people get him confused. He's a well known financial adviser in New York.

MS. COLBATH: He thinks he's the Ken Starr.

- When you say, "I have enclosed a brief summary of our position," what did you mean?
  - I guess it's what's right here, Α.

ROESLER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

25

attributes." Do you see that?

- Α. That's correct.
- There's a list of bullet points Q. underneath that. Do you see that?
  - Α. Yes.
- Q. And you wrote those bullet points; correct?
  - Α. I did.
- If you turn to the -- let's start with the first bullet point. "Encompasses protection of names, voices, signatures, photographs, images, likeness, or distinctive appearances, gestures, or mannerisms." Do you see that?
  - Α. Yes.
  - Is that something that you wrote? 0.
- Α. At least reviewed.
- 19 Q. True and correct?
- 20 Α. I'm sorry?
- Strike that. Turning to the fourth Q. bullet point, you state -- if you'd read that 23 into the record.
  - I'm sorry? You want me to read it? Α.
  - The fourth bullet point, starting, Q.

### ROESLER

2

"Is only the second state" --

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α. That's correct.

25

Q. You say "here in New York." Do you

-- "to explicitly define conduct by which an out-of-state infringer submits to the jurisdiction of Indiana courts, provides important guidance and choice of law, domicile requirements which case law shows courts generally apply the law of the state where the person was domiciled in death here in New York which does not recognize the decedent's death."

- What did you mean by that Q. statement?
- That -- I meant that Indiana was an Α. important state to use because it doesn't look to the domicile of where the decedent was domiciled.
- When you refer to "decedent," Q. you're referring to Marilyn Monroe?
  - That's correct. Α.
- You say, "apply the law of the state where the person was domiciled at death." That person you're referring to is Marilyn Monroe?

1

#### ROESLER

2

see that?

3

Α. I do.

4

5

statement?

6

7

recall this document, but -- so I'm sure I made

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

Do you recall making that 0.

I recall -- I mean, I generally

the statement.

Thank you. Do you recall having Q. communications with Larry Shaw back in December of 2006?

Α. I don't remember specific dates, but Larry and I talked a lot. Recent --

Do you recall ever stating to Larry Q. that, pursuant to the Indiana statute, Larry and my clients would be responsible for paying CMG's and MMLLC's attorneys' fees in connection with the present litigation?

Larry and I had often frequent Α. friendly discussions on the case, and what could and might happen and so forth. our -- you know, so -- I mean, I -- you know, I think we talked about that there were big legal fees that someone might have to pay. But it was all in a very friendly context.

# ROESLER

Q. Do you recall ever telling Mr. Shaw, Larry Shaw, that if CMG and MMLLC prevailed in this litigation, Larry and my clients would be responsible for paying CMG's and MMLLC's legal fees?

MR. MINCH: Objection.

- Q. Under the Indiana statute.
  - MR. MINCH: Objection.
- A. I don't -- I don't know that I do recall or don't recall. Like I said, we often talked about the implications of the lawsuit and the costs and so forth. So there may have been something to that effect. But I don't have a specific recollection of it.
  - Q. Let me refresh your recollection.

MR. SERBAGI: If you could mark as Roesler 14 a document that we have previously produced in connection with the pleadings in this case. It does not bear a production number. We do have it. It is from Larry Shaw -- no. It is two e-mails, one from Mark Roesler to Larry Shaw and others, and also an e-mail back from -- strike that.

EX. C

USDS SDNY				
DOCUMENT				
ELECTRONICALLY FILED				
DOC #:				
DATE FILED: 3/168				

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SHAW FAMILY ARCHIVES LTD., EDITH : MARCUS and META STEVENS.

Plaintiffs.

Case No. 05 Civ. 3939 (CM)

-against-

Hon. Colleen McMahon

CMG WORLDWIDE, INC. and MARILYN MONROE LLC.

STIPULATION

Defendants.

WHEREAS the parties have conferred in an effort to avoid spending time at trial authenticating documents about which there is no genuine dispute;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the undersigned counsel that, for purposes of this action, all documents produced in discovery prior to the January 1, 2008 discovery deadline, except (i) those documents bearing a production number with the prefix "MC" and (ii) a purported audio recording of a 1955 radio interview of Marilyn Monroe and any transcript thereof, are authentic under Federal Rules of Evidence 901, et seq.;

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the undersigned counsel that nothing in this Stipulation shall prevent any party from objecting to any document or piece of evidence on any ground other than authenticity.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER SERBAGI

Christopher Serbagi

488 Madison Avenue, Suite 1120

New York, New York 10022 Tel: (212) 593-2112 Fax: (212) 308-8582

Attorneys for Shaw Family Archives, Ltd., Edith Marcus and Meta Stevens

LOEB & LOEB, LLP

By: Paula K. Colbath

345 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10154-1895

Tel: (212) 407-4000 Fax: (646) 514-2887

Attorneya for Marilyn Monroe LLC

SOVICH MINCH, LLP

10099 Chesapeake Drive, Suite 100 McCordsville, Indiana 46055

Tel: (317) 335-3601 Fax: (317) 335-3602

Attorneys for CMG Worldwide, Inc.

Dated: New York, New York March 6, 2008

U.Ś.D.J.

NY704720.1

LAW OPPILES OF CHRISTOPHER STODA	LAW	<b>OFFICES</b>	OF CHRISTOPHER	CEDBACI
----------------------------------	-----	----------------	----------------	---------

New York, New York 10022 Tel: (212) 593-2112 Fax: (212) 308-8582

Attorneys for Shaw Family Archives, Ltd., Edith Marcus and Meta Stevens LOEB & LOEB, LLP

Paula K. Colbath
345 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10154-1895

Tel: (212) 407-4000 Fax: (646) 514-2887

Attorneys for Marilyn Monroe LLC

SOVICH MINCH, LL

Theodore J. Minch, Esq.

10099 Chesapeake Drive, Suite 100 McCordsville, Indiana 46055

Tel: (317) 335-3601 Fax: (317) 335-3602

Attorneys for CMG Worldwide, Inc.

Dated: New York, New York March 6, 2008

So Ordered.

Hon, Colleen McMahon U.S.D.J.

NY706720.1

EX. D

# christopher serbagi

Thursday, March 27, 2008 3:55 PM

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Monday, January 28, 2008 11:20 PM

From: Christopher Serbagi < c.serbagi@earthlink.net>

To: Jonathan Neil Strauss < jstrauss@loeb.com>, Paula Colbath < pcolbath@loeb.com>

Cc: "dmmlitigates@optonline.net" <dmmlitigates@optonline.net>

#### Jon:

As discussed just now on the phone, I received today from the California Surrogates Court and the Hawaii District Court certified documents that we will produce tomorrow in the form we received them. We think that all these documents have been produced by the parties before, although it appears that some of the Hawaii documents have not been produced by Defendants. We are currently investigating that matter.

Best,

Christopher Serbagi

Law Offices of Christopher Serbagi 488 Madison Avenue, Suite 1120

New York, New York 10022

Tele: 212-593-2112 Fax: 212-308-8582

Email: c.serbagi@earthlink.net

Web Site: www.serbagi.com